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}
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Explicit over Implicit

Can anything go wrong with this?

```c
int add(int a, int b) {
    return a + b;
}
```

How about this:

```c
int divide(int a, int b) {
    return a / b;
}
divide(4, 3); // 1
```
Explicit over Implicit

Can anything go wrong with this?

```java
int add(int a, int b) {
    return a + b;
}
```

How about this:

```java
int divide(int a, int b) {
    return a / b;
}
divide(4, 3); // 1
divide(2, 0); // Exception
    java.lang.ArithmeticException: / by zero
```
Explicit over Implicit

BTW, harder to force in TS*:

```javascript
function divide(a: bigint, b: bigint): bigint {
    return a / b;
}
divide(4n, 3n); // 1
divide(2n, 0n); // RangeError: Division by zero
```

*Compile with: --target es2020*
Explicit over Implicit

Most real-world code has a **contract**.

- It might not be obvious **to you**!
- This is why we:
  - Encode specifications
  - Test
  - Use exceptions
- Imperative to build systems that scale
Today

1. Exception Handling
2. Unit Testing
3. Specifications
Exceptions

● Inform caller of problem by transfer of control
  ○ They split control-flow into a “normal” and an “erroneous” branch
  ○ Compare “if/else”

● Semantics
  ○ Propagates up the call stack until exception is caught, or main method is reached
    ■ So, it can terminate the program!

● Where do exceptions come from?
Exceptions

Just try:

```java
String read(String path) {
    return Files.lines(Path.of(path))
        .collect(Collectors.joining("\n"));
}
```
Handling Exceptions

```java
String read(String path) {
    try {
        return Files.lines(Path.of(path))
            .collect(Collectors.joining(“\n”));
    }
    catch (IOException e) {
        // implement fall-back behavior.
    }
}
```
Handling Exceptions

```java
String read(String path) throws IOException {
    return Files.lines(Path.of(path))
        .collect(Collectors.joining("\n"));
}
```
Benefits of exceptions

● You can’t forget to handle common failure modes
  ○ Explicit > implicit
  ○ Compare: using a flag or special return value

● Provide high-level summary of error
  ○ Compare: core dump in C/C++

● Improve code structure
  ○ Separate normal code path from exceptional
  ○ Error handling code is segregated in catch blocks

● Ease task of writing robust, maintainable code
Exception Handling

Undeclared vs. Declared

```java
int divide(int a, int b) {
    return a / b;
}
```

```java
String read(String path) throws IOException {
    return Files.lines(Path.of(path)).
            .collect(Collectors.joining(“\n”));
}
```
Exception Handling

Undeclared vs. Declared

```
int divide(int a, int b) {
    return a / b;
}
```

```
String read(String path) throws IOException {
    return Files.lines(Path.of(path)).
            collect(Collectors.joining(“\n”));
}
```

Unchecked vs. Checked

```
divide(4, 3); // Compiles fine
```

```
read(“test.txt”); // Unhandled exception: java.io.IOException
```
Exception Handling

Handling unchecked exceptions is not enforced by the compiler.

These are quite common:

- E.g., all exceptions in C++
- In Java: any exception that extends Error or RuntimeException
Exception Handling

Handling **unchecked** exceptions is not enforced by the compiler

These are quite common

- E.g., all exceptions in C++
- In Java: any exception that extends Error or RuntimeException
  - E.g.:
    ```java
    int divide(int a, int b) throws ArithmeticException {
        return a / b;
    }
    divide(4, 3); // Compiles fine
    ```
  - **Note:** we don’t typically declare unchecked exceptions.
Java’s exception hierarchy (messy)
Design choice: checked vs. unchecked

- Unchecked exception
  - Programming error, other unrecoverable failure
- Checked exception
  - An error that every caller should be aware of and handle
- Special return value (e.g., null from Map.get)
  - Common but atypical result (not erroneous!)
- Do not use error codes – too easy to ignore
- Avoid null return values
  - Never return null instead of zero-length list or array
Defining & using Exception Types

class BufferBoundsException extends Throwable {
    public BufferBoundsException(String message) {
        ...
    }
}

void atIndex(int[] buff, int i) throws CustomException {
    if (buff.length <= i)
        throw new BufferBoundsException("...");
    return buff[i];
}
Exception Handling

- It’s still wise to guard for “obvious” unchecked exceptions
  
  ```java
  if (arr.length > 10)
      return arr[10];
  ```

- Or explicitly signal the problem, recall:
  
  ```java
  if (buff.length <= i)
      throw new BufferBoundsException("...");
      return buff[i];
  ```

- Why is this better than letting the index fail?
Exception Handling

- It’s still wise to guard for “obvious” unchecked exceptions

```java
if (arr.length > 10)
    return arr[10];
```

- Or explicitly signal the problem, recall:

```java
if (buff.length <= i)
    throw new BufferBoundsException("...");
return buff[i];
```

- Why is this better than letting the index fail?
  - `BufferBoundsException` can be a checked exception!
  - Which forces someone to handle it
  - Here, we declared: `atIndex(int[] buff, int i) throws BufferBoundsException`
  - So every calling method must handle it, or throw it on
Guidelines for using exceptions (1)

- Avoid unnecessary checked exceptions (EJ Item 71)
- Favor standard exceptions (EJ Item 72)
  - `IllegalArgumentException` – invalid parameter value
  - `IllegalStateException` – invalid object state
  - `NullPointerException` – null param where prohibited
  - `IndexOutOfBoundsException` – invalid index param
  - `IOException` – and its subclasses, mostly for File-related actions
- Throw exceptions appropriate to abstraction (EJ Item 73)
Guidelines for using exceptions

- Document all exceptions thrown by each method
  - Unchecked as well as checked (EJ Item 74)
  - But don’t declare unchecked exceptions!
- Include failure-capture info in detail message (Item 75)

```java
throw new IllegalArgumentException(
    "Quantity must be positive: " + quantity);
```
Guidelines for using exceptions (2)

- Document all exceptions thrown by each method
  - Unchecked as well as checked (EJ Item 74)
  - But don't declare unchecked exceptions!
- Include failure-capture info in detail message (Item 75)

```java
throw new IllegalArgumentException("Quantity must be positive: " + quantity);
```

- Don’t ignore exceptions (EJ Item 77)

```java
try {
    processPayment(payment);
} catch (Exception e) {  // BAD!
}
```
Cleanup

Exception handling often also supports cleaning up

```javascript
openMyFile();
try {
    writeMyFile(theData); // This may throw an error
} catch (e) {
    handleError(e); // If an error occurred, handle it
} finally {
    closeMyFile(); // Always close the resource
}
```

Manual Resource Termination

Is ugly and error-prone, especially for multiple resources

- Even good programmers usually get it wrong
  - Sun’s Guide to Persistent Connections got it wrong in code that claimed to be exemplary
  - Solution on page 88 of Bloch and Gafter’s Java Puzzlers is badly broken; no one noticed for years
- 70% of the uses of `close` in the JDK itself were wrong in 2008!
- Even the “correct” idioms for manual resource management are deficient
The solution: try-with-resources

Automatically closes resources!

```java
try (DataInputStream dataInput =
     new DataInputStream(new FileInputStream(fileName))) {
    return dataInput.readInt();
} catch (IOException e) {
    ...
}
```
Exceptions Across Languages

Alas, try-with-resources does not exist in JS/TS

- Neither does ‘throws’

Exception structures differ radically across languages

- Most languages have ‘try/catch’ and ‘throw’
  - Some have ‘finally’
- Python has ‘with’ for resource management (since 2006)
  - C# has ‘using’
  - Java’s try-with-resources was added in 2011
- Go returns an error-typed value, to be checked for nullity
Exceptions Across Languages

Use what you have

● When possible, be explicit
  ○ Use the compiler to enforce, where possible
  ○ Pro-actively pre-empt corner-cases, where not
    ■ Unchecked exceptions, JS/TS

● Make exceptions part of your contract
Outline
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3. Specifications
Testing

How do we know this works?

```c
int isPos(int x) {
    return x >= 1;
}
```
Testing

How do we know this works?

Testing

```java
int isPos(int x) {
    return x >= 1;
}
```

```java
@Test
void testIsPos() {
    assertTrue(isPos(1));
}
```

Are we done?
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How do we know this works?

```java
int isPos(int x) {
    return x >= 1;
}

@Test
void testIsPos() {
    assertTrue(isPos(1));
}

@Test
void testNotPos() {
    assertFalse(isPos(-1));
}

Are we done?
Testing

How do we know this works?

Testing

Are we done?

```java
int isPos(int x) {
    return x >= 0;  // What if?
}

@Test
void testIsPos() {
    assertTrue(isPos(1));
}

@Test
void testNotPos() {
    assertFalse(isPos(-1));
}
```
Testing

How do we know this works?

```java
int isPos(int x) {
    return x >= 0;  // What if?
}

@Test
void test1IsPos() {
    assertTrue(isPos(1));
}

@Test
void test0IsNotPos() {
    assertFalse(isPos(0));  // Fails
}
```

Testing

Are we done?
Testing

How do we know a program is correct?

- In a perfect world (maybe): formal verification
  - Easy enough for proving that $isPos(x)$ -- the implementation is the definition
  - Tedious, cannot be done automatically
- Hence, testing
Testing

- Execute the program with selected inputs in a controlled environment
  - Why is this related to contracts?
Testing

- Execute the program with selected inputs in a controlled environment
  - Why is this related to contracts?
  - Because we need to know what to test!
Testing

- Execute the program with selected inputs in a controlled environment
  - Why is this related to contracts?
  - Because we need to know what to test!

- Goals
  - Reveal bugs, so they can be fixed (primary goal)
  - Clarify the specification, documentation
Unit Tests

- For “small” units: methods, classes, subsystems
  - Unit is smallest testable part of system
  - Test the parts before assembling them
  - Intended to catch local bugs
- Typically (but not always) written by developers
- Many small, fast-running, independent tests
- Few dependencies on other system parts or environment
- Insufficient, but a good starting point
For Java: JUnit

- Popular unit-testing framework for Java
- Easy to use
- Tool support available, e.g., IntelliJ integration
For Java: JUnit

Syntax:

```java
import static org.junit.Assert.*;

class PosTests {

  @Before
  void setUp() {
    // Anything you want to run before each test
  }

  @Test
  void test1IsPos() {
    assertTrue(isPos(1));
  }
}
```
For TS: Jest

- In particular, ts-jest
  - Many other options; your choice
- Requires a few files:
  - jest.config.js, to specify testing mode
  - package.json with (ts-)jest dependencies
- Provides useful features:
  - ‘test’, ‘expect’ (= ‘assert’)
  - ‘toBe’, ‘toEqual’
  - ‘fn’, for Mocking (later)
Writing Testable Code

● Think about testing when writing code
  ○ Unit testing encourages you to write testable code

● Modularity and testability go hand in hand
  ○ Same test can be used on multiple implementations of an interface!

● Test-Driven Development
  ○ A design and development method in which you write tests before you write the code
  ○ Writing tests can expose API weaknesses!
Run Tests Often

● You should only commit code that passes all tests…
● So run tests before every commit
● If test suite becomes too large & slow for rapid feedback
   ○ Run local package-level tests (“smoke tests”) frequently
   ○ Run all tests nightly
   ○ Medium sized projects often have thousands of test cases
● Continuous integration (CI) servers help to scale testing
Reflections on Testing

“Testing shows the presence, not the absence of bugs.”
Edsger W. Dijkstra, 1969

“Functionality that can’t be demonstrated by automated test simply don't exist.”
Kent Beck
Boundary Value Testing

We cannot test for every integer.

Choose *representative* values:
1 for positives, -1 for negatives

And *boundary cases*: 0 is a likely candidate for mistakes

- Think like an attacker

```java
int isPos(int x) {
    return x >= 0;  // What if?
}

@Test
void test1IsPos() {
    assertTrue(isPos(1));
}

@Test
void test0IsNotPos() {
    assertFalse(isPos(0));  // Fails
}
```
Outline
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3. Specifications
Specifications

So what exactly do you test?

- What it claims to do: specification testing
- What it does: structural testing
What is a contract?

● Agreement between an object and its user
  ○ What object provides, and user can count on

● Includes:
  ○ Method signature (type specifications)
  ○ Functionality and correctness expectations
  ○ Sometimes: performance expectations

● **What** the method does, not **how** it does it
  ○ **Interface** (API), not **implementation**

● “Focus on concepts rather than operations”
Method contract details

- Defines method’s and caller’s responsibilities
- Analogy: legal contract
  - If you pay me this amount on this schedule…
  - I will build a room with the following detailed spec
  - Some contracts have remedies for nonperformance
- Method contract structure
  - Preconditions: what method requires for correct operation
  - Postconditions: what method establishes on completion
  - Exceptional behavior: what it does if precondition violated
- Defines correctness of implementation
How to Encode Specifications?

Formal frameworks exist, to capture pre- and post-conditions

- E.g., ‘requires arr != null’
- Useful for formal verification
- But rarely used
  - Takes a lot of effort, and doesn’t scale well
How to Encode Specifications?

More common: prose specification. Document:

- Every parameter
- Return value
- Every exception (checked and unchecked)
- What the method does, including
  - Primary purpose
  - Any side effects
  - Any thread safety issues
  - Any performance issues
How to Encode Specifications?

More common: prose specification. Document

- Every parameter
- Return value
- Every exception (checked and unchecked)
- What the method does, including
  - Primary purpose
  - Any side effects
  - Any thread safety issues
  - Any performance issues
- Do not document implementation details
  - Known as overspecification
class RepeatingCardOrganizer {
  ...

  public boolean isComplete(CardStatus card) {
      return card.getResults().stream()
          .filter(isSuccess -> isSuccess)
          .count() >= this.repetitions;
  }
}
class RepeatingCardOrganizer {

    /**
     * Checks if the provided card has been answered correctly the required number of times.
     * @param card The {@link CardStatus} object to check.
     * @return {@code true} if this card has been answered correctly at least {@code this.repetitions} times.
     */
    public boolean isComplete(CardStatus card) {
        return card.getResults().stream()
            .filter(isSuccess -> isSuccess)
            .count() >= this.repetitions;
    }
}
class RepeatingCardOrganizer {

    /**
     * Checks if the provided card has been answered correctly the required number of times.
     * @param card The {@link CardStatus} object to check.
     * @return {@code true} if this card has been answered correctly at least {@code this.repetitions} times.
     */
    public boolean isComplete(CardStatus card) {
        // IGNORE THIS WHEN SPECIFICATION TESTING!
    }
}
/**
 * Checks if the provided card has been answered correctly the required number of times.
 * @param card The {@link CardStatus} object to check.
 * @return {@code true} if this card has been answered correctly at least
 *     {@code this.repetitions} times.
 */

public boolean isComplete(CardStatus card);

// What is specified?
/**
 * Checks if the provided card has been answered correctly the required number of times.
 * @param card The {@link CardStatus} object to check.
 * @return {@code true} if this card has been answered correctly at least
 * {@code this.repetitions} times.
 */

public boolean isComplete(CardStatus card);

// What is specified?
// - Parameter type (no constraints)
/**
 * Checks if the provided card has been answered correctly the required number of times.
 * @param card The {@link CardStatus} object to check.
 * @return {@code true} if this card has been answered correctly at least {@code this.repetitions} times.
 */
public boolean isComplete(CardStatus card);

// What is specified?
// - Parameter type (no constraints)
// - Return constraints: “at least” this.repetitions correct answers
/**
 * Checks if the provided card has been answered correctly the required number of times.
 * @param card The {@link CardStatus} object to check.
 * @return {@code true} if this card has been answered correctly at least this.repetitions times.
 */

public boolean isComplete(CardStatus card);

// What is specified?
// - Parameter type (no constraints)
// - Return constraints: “at least” this.repetitions correct answers
// So what do we test?
**/ **

* Checks if the provided card has been answered correctly the required number of times.
* **@param** card The {*link CardStatus} object to check.
* **@return** {*code true} if this card has been answered correctly at least {*code this.repetitions} times.
*/

public boolean isComplete(CardStatus card);

@Test
public void testIsCompleteSingleSuccess() {
    CardRepeater repeater = new RepeatingCardOrganizer(1); // Single repetition
    CardStatus cs = new CardStatus(new FlashCard("", ""); // Single repetition
    cs.recordResult(true); // Single Success
    assert??(repeater.isComplete(cs));
}
Docstring Specification

```java
/**
 * Checks if the provided card has been answered correctly the required number of times.
 * @param card The {@link CardStatus} object to check.
 * @return {@code true} if this card has been answered correctly at least {@code this.repetitions} times.
 */
public boolean isComplete(CardStatus card);

@Test
public void testIsCompleteSingleSuccess() {
    CardRepeater repeater = new RepeatingCardOrganizer(1); // Single repetition
    CardStatus cs = new CardStatus(new FlashCard("", ""));
    cs.recordResult(true); // Single Success
    assertTrue(repeater.isComplete(cs));
}
```
Docstring Specification

/**
 * Checks if the provided card has been answered correctly the required number of times.
 * @param card The {@link CardStatus} object to check.
 * @return {@code true} if this card has been answered correctly at least {@code this.repetitions} times.
 */

public boolean isComplete(CardStatus card);

@Test
public void testIsNotCompleteSingleFailure() {
    CardRepeater repeater = new RepeatingCardOrganizer(1); // Single repetition
    CardStatus cs = new CardStatus(new FlashCard("", ""));
    cs.recordResult(false); // Single failure
    assertFalse(repeater.isComplete(cs));
}
class RepeatingCardOrganizer {

    **
    * Checks if the provided card has been answered correctly the required number of times.
    * @param card The {@link CardStatus} object to check.
    * @return {@code true} if this card has been answered correctly at least {@code this.repetitions} times.
    */

    public boolean isComplete(CardStatus card) {
        return card.getResults().stream()
            .filter(isSuccess -> isSuccess)
            .count() >= this.repetitions;
    }


We’ve now run this twice. Are we done testing?
Specification vs. Structural Testing

You can test for different objectives

● Specification-based testing: test solely the specification
  ○ Ignores implementation, use inputs/outputs only
  ○ Cover all specified behavior

● Structural Testing: consider implementation
  ○ Optimize for various kinds of code coverage
    ■ Line, Statement, Data-flow, etc. -- More next week
Specification vs. Structural Testing

You can test for different objectives

- **Structural Testing:**
  - By some definitions, we are done. Full line coverage, branch coverage.
  - Rarely enough, but often adequate

- **Specification Testing:**
  - Do not rely on code; need to consider corner-cases
  - Think like an attacker
/**
 * Checks if the provided card has been answered correctly the required number of times.
 * @param card The {@link CardStatus} object to check.
 * @return {@code true} if this card has been answered correctly at least {@code this.repetitions} times.
 * /
 * public boolean isComplete(CardStatus card) {
 *   return card.getSuccesses.get(0); // <-- Bad, but passes both tests
 * }
Outlook

Homework 2 is all about testing

- Specification-testing the FlashCard system
- Some structural testing as well
  - More next Tuesday, also on coverage, test-case design
- To be released fairly soon
Summary

- Being explicit about program behavior is ideal
  - Helps you detect bugs
  - Forces handling of special cases -- a key source of bugs
  - Increases transparency of your program’s interface

- Specification comes in multiple forms
  - Explicit contracts, formal or informal
  - Compile-time signals, e.g. through exceptions
  - Testing helps clarify, often improve specifications
    - TDD takes this to the extreme
    - You rarely know your code until you test it